

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
USING States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Altendary, Unsulvania 1971.

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/529,032	04/05/2000	SAMUEL C. RAMEY	5588-66325	1710	
32692	7590 11/10/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			WALSH, DANIEL I		
PO BOX 3342 ST. PAUL, N	27 1N 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/529,032	RAMEY ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Daniel I Walsh	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp nd nce address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl	y to a				
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \( \sum \) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) \( \sum \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 705.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The cle have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-29.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	つ	,				
10.⊠ Other: see note		- b/1					

THEEN M. LE PROMERY EXAMINER Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Re item 5: The Examiner notes that the cam 7 is coupled to the body and button, is a mechanism for ejection, and is a one-piece monolithic cam, thus meeting the definition of a monolithic ejector mechanism, therefore teaching the claimed limitations.

Re item 10: Claims 1-29 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Action, paper no. 11